

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,125	12/29/2000	Luke A. Johnson	INTL-0513-US (P10388) 8725		
7590 04/25/2005			EXAMINER		
Timothy N. Trop			TRAN, KHAI		
TROP, PRUNI	ER & HU, P.C.				
STE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
8554 KATY FWY			2637		
HOUSTON, TX 77024-1805			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/752,125	JOHNSON, LUKE A.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
KHAI TRAN	2637		

5 ,,	LAUIIIIICI	Aironic	
	KHAI TRAN	2637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		er intorrect er who r	ices with the
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two month	he of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	.0001100
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further continuous (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	` ,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>7,14-16,18,20,26-28</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8-13,17-19 and 21-25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered b <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PIO/SB/08 or PIO-1449) Paper I	NO(S)	
13. ☐ Other:	Man wantu MANTRAN MARY EXAMBLER 4/21/05	ı	
	FUENTHAN	KHAI TRAN	
. P	THE PARTY EXAMINED 4/21/05	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2637	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Nishimura discloses a storage device as shown in Figure 3, for storing data; a bolck for adjusting the position of the data in the storage device (figures 4 and 5 show a shift register 400 fo shifting the position of the data in the storage device.